

Newspapers and fact-checkers agree:
Anti-Fluoride Activists Are Not Credible

MILWAUKEE · WISCONSIN
JOURNAL SENTINEL

(Editorial published on May 31, 2012)

“... their arguments, no doubt sincere, aren't based on much more than anecdote, conjecture and studies that aren't particularly relevant to the U.S. practice of community water fluoridation.”

★
Tampa Bay Times
tampabay.com

(Editorial published on March 17, 2012)

“The fluoride fight raises larger questions about our values: Are we going to let scare tactics trump established science? ... The opponents are small in number but vocal, determined and ready with distortions, half-truths and misstatements.”

★
PolitiFact[®]com

(News analysis published on April 19, 2011)

“(A Texas) resident says fluoride compound added to local water supply is ‘toxic waste’ ... We rate the statement False.”

★
Anchorage Press

(News article published on August 8, 2013)

“The objectors (to water fluoridation) are often accused of exploiting quack science and they've been known to promote conspiracy theories that often get them ridiculed.”

★
Santa Cruz Sentinel

(News story published on November 27, 2011)

“Many studies against fluoridation or proving negative health effects have reportedly been papers published at low-tier journals or studies withdrawn after being published.”