

*Newspapers and fact-checkers agree:*  
**Anti-Fluoride Activists Are Not Credible**

MILWAUKEE · WISCONSIN  
**JOURNAL SENTINEL**

*(Editorial published on May 31, 2012)*

“... their arguments, no doubt sincere, aren't based on much more than anecdote, conjecture and studies that aren't particularly relevant to the U.S. practice of community water fluoridation.”

— \* —  
*The* **NATION'S HEALTH**

*(News article published in July 2011)*

“... water fluoridation is under fire from some who claim its health benefits are overblown and that fluoridation creates a higher risk for heart disease and cancer. There is no valid science supporting these claims ... and yet they persist, kept afloat by Internet rumors and misinformation.”

— \* —  
**PolitiFact<sup>®</sup>com**

*(News analysis published on April 19, 2011)*

“(A Texas) resident says fluoride compound added to local water supply is ‘toxic waste’ ... We rate the statement False.”

— \* —  
**Anchorage Press**

*(News article published on August 8, 2013)*

“The objectors (to water fluoridation) are often accused of exploiting quack science and they've been known to promote conspiracy theories that often get them ridiculed.”

— \* —  
**Santa Cruz Sentinel**

*(News story published on November 27, 2011)*

“Many studies against fluoridation or proving negative health effects have reportedly been papers published at low-tier journals or studies withdrawn after being published.”